1	LISA A. RASMUSSEN, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 007491				
2	California Bar No. 207026 LAW OFFICE OF LISA RASMUSSEN, ESQ. 616 South 8 th Street Las Vegas, NV 89101				
3					
4	Tel. (702) 471-6565 Fax. (702) 471-6540				
5	Lisa@LRasmussenLaw.com Local Counsel for Jessica Gaona, Objector				
6	EDWARD F. SIEGEL				
7	Law Office of Edward F. Siegel 27600 Chagrin Blvd. Cleveland, OH 44122				
8					
9	Tel. (216) 831-3424 Fax. (216) 831-6584				
10	efiegel@efs-law.com Counsel for Deborah Maddox, Objector				
11	JOHN PENTZ				
12	2 Clock Tower Place Maynard, MA 01754 Tel. (978) 461-1548 Clasaxn@earthlink.net Counsel for Fatima Andrews, Objector Edward W. Cochran Cochran & Cochran 20030 Marchmont Road Shaker Heights, OH 44122 Counsel for Stephanie Swift, Objector				
13					
14					
15					
16					
17					
18	HNITED STATE	S DISTRICT COURT			
19					
20	DISTRICT	OF NEVADA			
21	In Re:	MDL - 1735			
22					
23	WAL-MART Wage and Hour 02:06-cv-225 PMP (PAL) Employment Practices Litigation				
24	THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: APPELLANTS JESSICA GAONA STEPHANIE SWIFT, DEBORAH MADDOX AND FATIMA				
252627	All Cases.	ANDREWS' JOINT RESPONSE TO PLAINTIFF NANCY HALL'S MOTION FOR BOND FOR APPEAL (C.R. # 535, 536, 537, 538)			
27	COME NOW Objectors JESSICA GA	AONA, by and through her counsel, Lisa A.			
28	Rasmussen, Esq., and Christopher A. Banda	s, Esq.; STEPHANIE SWIFT, by and through			
		Response			

her counsel, Edward W. Cochran, Esq.; DEBORAH MADDOX, by and through her counsel, Edward F. Siegel Esq.; and FATIMA ANDREWS, by and through her counsel, John Pentz, Esq., and hereby submit their Joint Response to Plaintiff Nancy Hall's Motion for Bond For Appeal and Other Appropriate Relief to Protect the Class, and its related documents, docket numbers 535, 536, 537 and 538.

RESPONSE IN OPPOSITION TO NANCY HALL'S MOTION

Class Plaintiffs may obtain a bond for anticipated costs under Rule 7 FRAP, but those costs are limited to those set forth in Rule 39 FRAP. The \$715,000 or \$990,000 sought by class counsel are not for costs. Class Plaintiffs' claimed bond costs items are not bondable on appeal under the facts of this case. Under Rule 7 FRAP, a bond cannot include attorneys' fees or administrative costs. Objectors/Appellants' objections to the settlement and Class Counsel's attorneys' fees, and their appeal from the order overruling their objections to the settlement and class counsel's fees, are facially not frivolous and their appeal is highly unlikely to be declared so by the appellate panel.

It is clear that Class Counsel is seeking a \$715,000 or \$990,000 bond in an attempt to stifle objectors' appeal from this court's approval of the settlement and their attorneys' fees. That is not a proper use of the rules relating to bonding, and the Court should not allow it pursuant to Rule 7 FRAP. Class Plaintiffs' are entitled to a bond for the actual costs explicitly taxable pursuant to in Rule 39 FRAP, but not anything more.

A. Neither the Objections to Attorney Fees Nor the Appeals Are Frivolous

The overarching theme of Class Plaintiffs' request for \$715,000 or \$990,00 bond in this case is that Objectors/Appellants' objection to the settlement and class counsel's fees are frivolous, and that Objectors/Appellants counsel are "professional objectors" who pursue objections for improper purposes.

First, an appeal is frivolous if "the result is obvious or if the claims of error are wholly without merit." *DeWitt v. Western Pacific Railroad Co.*, 719 F.2d 1448, 1451 (9th Cir. 1983). The fact that there is a body of federal jurisprudence regarding attorney's fees shows reasonable people often differ

¹ Although class counsel may prefer pro se objectors, or objectors counsel with no experience in class actions, due process, assures objectors the right to professional representation.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

on this issue. 28 U.S.C. Section 1927, which might support a bond for "vexatious litigation conduct," is inapplicable to this appeal as it requires "bad faith or intentional misconduct by counsel." Although the imposition of attorney's fees on appeal as a sanction is allowed under Rule 38, it is only available after the appeals court finds the appeal frivolous, and only upon further motion and hearing. 10 Wright, Miller & Kane, Federal Practice & Procedure, §2675; 2675.2 (2001); see also *Azizian v. Federated Department Stores, Inc.*, 499 F.3d 950, 960 (9th Cir. 2007).

It is well established that whether an appeal is frivolous is solely within the purview of the appellate court, not the district court. *Vaughn v. American Honda Motor Co., Inc.*, 507 F.3d 295, 299 (5th Cir. 2007); *Cooter & Gell v. Hartmax Corp.*, 496 U.S. 384, 407 (1990); *In re American President Lines, Inc.*, 779 F.2d 714, 717 (D.C. Cir 1985). Only the appellate court has the authority to impose sanctions for a frivolous appeal, *Aizian*, 499 F.3d at 960; *In re Vasseli*, 5 F.3d 351, 353 (9th Cir 1993) citing *In re American President Lines, Inc.* 779 F.2d 714, 717 (D.C. Cir. 1985).

In the case of Azizian v. Federated Department Stores, Inc., the 9th Circuit considered the question of under what circumstances a district court may require an appellant to include appellate attorney's fees in an appeal bond. See Azizian, 499 F.3d 950. The Azizian court determined that a district court may require an appellant to secure appellate attorney's fees in the appeal bond pursuant to Rule 7 only if an applicable fee shifting statute includes attorney's fees in its definition of recoverable costs. *Id.* at 953. In *Azizian*, the district court had ordered appellants to post a \$42,000 appeal bond, representing \$2,000 in appellate costs under Rule 39 and \$40,000 in appellate attorney's fees. *Id.* at 954. the district court rationalized its inclusion of attorneys fees in the appeal bond by concluding that the Court of Appeal was likely to find the appeal frivolous. *Id.* Appellants tendered the \$2,000 of the bond allocated to costs under Rule 39, but not the \$40,000 allocated to attorney's fees. *Id.* The 9th Circuit eventually found that the appeal should not be dismissed on the merits because appellants had failed to post the full bond required by the district court. Id. at 954. In discussing the district court's determination that the Court of Appeal would find the appeal frivolous and therefore award attorney's fees as a sanction under Rule 38, the 9th Circuit stated that "even if we were to conclude that [the appellant's] appeal was frivolous, however, we would reverse the district court's inclusion of appellate attorney's fees on that basis." Id. at 960. The 9th Circuit held that the district court's inclusion of attorney's fees in the bond on the grounds that the appeal was likely frivolous was improper for three reasons. *Id.* First, because an award of attorney's fees for a frivolous appeal under Rule 38 is very unusual, and it would be difficult for the district court to gauge whether such an award would be likely. *Id.* Second, if the potentially large sums possible under Rule 38 were included in Rule 7 bonds, the effect would be to chill appeals. *Id.* Third, Rule 38 authorizes the award of attorney's fees as a sanction for improper conduct on appeal, and only the court of appeals may order the sanction of appellate attorney's fees. *Id.* The 9th Circuit concluded that "whether, or how, to deter frivolous appeals is best left to the courts of appeals..." *Id.* at 961. Therefore, there is simply no provision for a district court to make an advance determination that an appeal violates Rule 38, much less authority for imposing a bond to include the anticipated damages and sanctions that the circuit court may ultimately determine.

B. Bondable Costs Do Not Include Attorneys' Fees

Apart from their claim that frivolity of the appeal supports a bond for attorneys' fees, Class Plaintiffs suggests that this Court has the authority to include prospective attorneys' fees as items of Rule 7 FRAP costs. In fact, the majority rule among circuit courts, endorsed by the Second, Sixth, Ninth and Eleventh Circuits, is that a district court may include attorneys' fees in a Rule 7 Bond, but **only if** those attorney's fees would be considered recoverable costs under an applicable fee shifting statute. See *Azizian*, 499 F.3d at 958.

This Court now has the opportunity to clarify and update its rule regarding Rule 7 bond orders issued by district courts to bring it in line with the majority view: that attorney's fees may only be included in a Rule 7 bond if the underlying "prevailing party" fee shifting provision of a statute includes attorney's fees as recoverable. This Court also has the opportunity to firmly establish appellate court precedence in the determination of whether an appeal is frivolous, in concert with at least three other circuits by holding that the appellate courts are the best forum in which to determine whether an appeal is frivolous, and that district courts have no authority to make peremptory determinations of frivolousness, nor to base bond orders on those predictions. *See Azizian*, 499 F.3d at 960 (9th Cir. 2007).

27 ...

28 ...

1 **CONCLUSION** 2 As set forth above, there is no legal or factual support for the imposition of a Rule 7 bond that 3 exceeds the actual costs and it is respectfully requested that this Court issue an Order denying Plaintiff Nancy Hall's Motion, or, in the alternative, limiting any bond that this Court will consider to only the 4 5 actual costs as set forth herein. Respectfully submitted and dated this 4th day of January, 2010. 6 LAW OFFICE OF LISA RASMUSSEN, 7 8 /s/ Lisa A. Rasmussen 9 LISA A. RASMUSSEN, ESO. Nevada Bar No. 7491 10 Local Counsel for Jessica Gaona, Objector 11 BANDAS LAW FIRM, P.C., 12 /s/ Christopher A. Bandas 13 CHRISTOPHER A. BANDAS, ESO. 500 N. Shoreline Blvd., Suite 1020 Corpus Christi, TX 78471 14 Tel. (361) 698-5200 15 Fax. (361) 698-5222 Cbandas@bandaslawfirm.com Counsel for Jessica Gaona, Objector 16 17 LAW OFFICE OF EDWARD F. SIEGEL 18 /s/ Edward F. Siegel 19 EDWARD F. SIEGEL, ESQ. 20 27600 Chagrin Blvd. Cleveland, OH 44122 Telephone: (216) 831-3424 21 Facsimile: (216) 831-6584 22 efiegel@efs-law.com Counsel for Deborah Maddox, Objector 23 24 /s/ John Pentz JOHN PENTZ, ESQ. 25 2 Clock Tower Place 26 Maynard, MA 01754 Telephone: (978) 461-1548 Clasaxn@earthlink.net 27 Counsel for Fatima Andrews, Objector 28

Case 2:06-cv-00225-PMP-PAL Document 556 Filed 01/04/10 Page 6 of 9 COCHRAN & COCHRAN /s/ Edward W. Cochran EDWARD W. COCHRAN, ESQ. 20030 Marchmont Road Shaker Heights, OH 44122 Counsel for Stephanie Swift **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE** I hereby certify that a true and correct copy of Appellants' Jessica Lynn Gaona, Stephanie Swift, Deborah Maddox and Fatima Andrews Joint Response to Plaintiffs' Motion for Bond has been served via CM/ECF upon the following persons: **Co-Lead Class Counsel** Robert J. Bonsignore, Esq. rbonsignore@bandblaw.net **Co-Lead Class Counsel** Carolyn Beasley Burton, Esq. cbeasley@beasleylawgroup.biz & Counsel for Plaintiff Nancy Hall **Wal-Mart Class Counsel** Naomi Beer, Esq. beern@gtlaw.com

19 20

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

Adriana Contartese	Ann Miller	Arthur Y. Park
	am@attorneyannmiller.com	apark@ppyrlaw.com
adriana999@juno.com	-	

22

23

21

Barbara A. Petrus	Barry M. Klayman	Bernard J. Bobber
	bklayman@cozen.com	bbobber@foley.com
hnetrus@goodsill.com		

24

25

26

Bradd N. Siegel	Bradley D. Bonner	Brendan V. Johnson
bsiegel@porterwright.com	brad@bonnerstinsonpc.net	brendan@jhmmj.com

27

28

Case 2:06-cv-00225-PMP-PAL Document 556 Filed 01/04/10 Page 7 of 9

1 2	Brian Duffy duffyb@gtlaw.com	Brian C. Buescher <u>Brian.Buescher@kutakrock.co</u> <u>m</u>	Brian W Boschee bboschee@nevadafirm.com
3 4 5	Carol P LaPlant carollaplant@msn.com	Carolyn K. Gugelyk cgugelyk@goodsill.com	Christopher P. Welsh cwelsh@welsh-law.com
6 7	Christopher R. Hedican chedican@bairdholm.com,	Craig O. Asbill casbill@carolinalaw.com	Craig W. Hillwig chillwig@kohnswift.com
8 9	Cynthia K. Smith cks@montanalaw.com	Daniel A. Kaplan dkaplan@foley.com	Daniel D Ambrose daniel@ambroselawyers.com
10 11	Daniel E. Rausher danrausher@yahoo.com	Daniel J. Mitchell dmitchell@bernsteinshur.com	David L. Young youngd@lanepowell.com
12 13 14	Deena Jo Schneider dschneider@schnader.com	Dirk A Ravenholt lawdirk@aol.com	Don V. Huynh dhuynh@ppyrlaw.com
15 16	Donald S Goldbloom goldbloomlaw@verizon.net	Edmund K. Saffery esaffery@goodsill.com	Edward F Siegel efsiegel@efs-law.com
17 18	Ellen E. Boshkoff ellen.boshkoff@bakerd.com	Ellen T. Noteware enoteware@bm.net	Eric J Pelton epelton@kohp.com
19 20	F. Thomas Edwards tedwards@nevadafirm.com	Franklin D Azar kingm@fdazar.com	Fred Schultz fschultz@kiva.net
21 22	Gary R. Scott gscott@hirstapplegate.com	Gary S. Nitsche gnitsche@attys4u.com	George Cournty French cfrench@fpflaw.com
23 24	Gerald L. Bader, Jr. gbader@bader-associates.com	Glen W. Neeley glneeley@yahoo.com	Gregory F. Greiner greg greiner@msn.com
252627	Ivy L Frignoca ifrignoca@lambertcoffin.com	J. Thomas Henretta jth@henrettalaw.com	James E Whitmire, III jwhitmire@nevadafirm.com
28			

Case 2:06-cv-00225-PMP-PAL Document 556 Filed 01/04/10 Page 8 of 9

1 2	James F Bennett jbennett@dowdbennett.com	James M Mullis , Jr <u>dholloway@mullislawfirm.co</u> <u>m</u>	James R. Welsh jwelsh@welsh-law.com
3	Jay A. Urban jurban@wisconsininjury.com	Jeffrey S. Beck jeffrey.beck@bakerd.com	Jeremy Cave cavej@fdazar.com
567	Jill P. Telfer jilltelfer@yahoo.com	Jim Odell Stuckey , II jstuckey@littler.com	John Pentz Clasaxn@earthlink.net
7 8 9	John C. McLaren john@ppyrlaw.com	John J Rausch rauschlawfirm@dybb.com	Joseph C. Kohn jkohn@kohnswift.com
10 11	Kathleen W. Toth ktoth@mc2b.com	Kent Hirsch kent@hirschlawfirm.com	Kurt D. Williams kwilliams@bowse-law.com
12 13	Lanny H. Darr , II ldarr@sbkdlaw.com	Laurence William Stinson laurence@bonnerstinsonpc.net	Laurent J. Remillard, Jr lremillard@ppyrlaw.com
141516	Lawrence J Sorohan , II lsorohan@laborlawyers.com	Lee Bruner <u>lee@prrlaw.com</u>	Lindsay Ann Eckes leckes@hirstapplegate.com
17 18	Marcia A. Washkuhn Marcia.Washkuhn@kutarock. com	Maria B Glorioso maria@gtorts.com	Mark A Tate mtate@cartertatelaw.com
192021	Mark C. Choate markcchoate@yahoo.com	Mary K. Schug schugm@lanepowell.com	Matthew T. Tobin matt@sdtrustco.com
22 23	Melissa Carol Hinton mhinton@dehs.com	Michael Reiss mikereiss@dwt.com	Michael J. Killeen mikekilleen@dwt.com
24252627	Mike J. Miller mjm@solberglaw.com	Nathan R. Long nate@echohawk.com	Pamela R. Mullis prmullis@mullislawfirm.com

28

Case 2:06-cv-00225-PMP-PAL Document 556 Filed 01/04/10 Page 9 of 9

1 2 3	Paul Blankenstein pblankenstein@gibsondunn.co m	Paul Alexis Del Aguila delaguilap@gtlaw.com	Paul C. EchoHawk paul@echohawk.com
4 5	R. Deryl Edwards , Jr rde417@hotmail.com	Richard P Batesky , Jr <u>rpbatesky@aol.com</u>	Robert Carl Jarosh rjarosh@hirstapplegate.com
6 7	Robert K. McCalla rmccalla@laborlawyers.com	Robert W Mills rwm@millslawfirm.com	Roberto Antonio Lange rlange@dehs.com
8 9 10	Rodney P. Bridgers <u>Bridgersr@fdazar.com</u>	Ronald Kilgard rkilgard@kellerrohrback.com	Rudy A. Englund englundr@lanepowell.com
11 12	Sammi V. Anderson sanderson@mc2b.com	Samuel K. Rudman srudman@lambertcoffin.com	Stacey E. Tjon set@solberglaw.com
13 14	Stephen M Smith ssmith@braininjurylawcenter. com	Susan Brunick Simons ssimons@dehs.com	Thomas A Nicholas , III tnicholas@hirstapplegate.com
15 16 17	Thomas Henry Johnson thjatty@windstream.net	Thomas J. Kenny thomas.kenny@kutakrock.com	Todd S. Collins tcollins@bm.net
18 19	Tonya L Melnichenko melnichenkot@fdazar.com	Troy N Giatras troy@thewvlawfirm.com	Vincent J Glorioso , III trey@gtorts.com
20 21	Vincent J Glorioso , Jr	Wayne D. Parsons	William J. Kilberg
22 23	lawclerk@gtorts.com	mdodge@alohano.com	wkilberg@gibsondunn.com
24 25		/s/ Lisa A. Ras	smussen
26 27		Lisa A. Rasmı	ussen, Esq.
28			